

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,335	03/01/2004	Jayasimha Nuggehalli	49986-0535	2410	
	7590 01/29/2008	EXAMINER			
HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP 2055 GATEWAY PLACE			RODRIGUEZ, LENNIN R		
SUITE 550 SAN JOSE, CA	95110	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
57 H (30 5 E), C7		2625			
·					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		:	01/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner	E		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Lennin R. Rodriguez The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 01 March 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	Office Action Summary		10/791,33	5	NUGGEHALLI ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			Examiner		Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after 1 he mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			Lennin R.	Rodriguez	2625				
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 			on appears on the	cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 March 2004</u>. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILINg asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the	NG DATE OF THE OFR 1.136(a). In no even on. period will apply and wing statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNIC nt, however, may a re I expire SIX (6) MONT cation to become AB	CATION. THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	Status								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	01 March 2004			•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	on-final					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	,	, —			ers, prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
	٠,٣								
	Dispositi		•	•		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	,	,,		sideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) <u>1.27</u> is/are objected to.	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	•								
	,			•					
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	, —								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				•		CED 1 101/d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	44)	•							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	· 10-132.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 ·			·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
					•				
Attachment(s)	Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet. 6) Other:	3) 💢 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4 0)	5) Notice of In	nformal Patent Application				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :9/13/2007, 2/5/2007, 8/7/2006, 11/18/2004.

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 9-10, 12-16, 21, 24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Homma (US 2001/0017700).
 - (1) regarding claims 1 and 27:

Homma '700 discloses a multifunction peripheral (100 in Fig. 1) configured to perform the steps of:

requesting device-related information from a network device over a network (paragraph [0075], lines 3-13, where a command is being made in order to retrieve information);

receiving device-related information from the network device over the network (paragraph [0076], lines 6-12, where if the information is stored in memory it is received by the requesting party);

generating a device-related report based on said device-related information (paragraph [0074], where the information collected is being formatted in a record); and

sending said device-related report to a recipient device (paragraph [0076], lines 6-12, where if the information is stored in memory it is received by the requesting party).

Art Unit: 2625

(2) regarding claim 2:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of generating the device-related report based on said device- related information based at least in part on the recipient device (paragraph [0074] – [0076], where certain host computer makes the request for information and that information is format in a way that the host computer would understand the information being received).

(3) regarding claim 3:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a faxing module (4 in Fig. 1) and the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending the device-related report by sending the device-related report Via fax using the faxing module (paragraph [0036], where the core part controls the transmission of information, among others, of the facsimile portion).

(4) regarding claim 4:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a network connection (public network in Fig. 1) and the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending the device-related report by sending the device-related report to an electronic faxing service over the network connection (paragraph [0036], where the core part controls the transmission of information, among others, of the facsimile portion through a network).

(5) regarding claim 9:

Art Unit: 2625

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises an encryption module and wherein multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of encrypting the device-related report (paragraph [0076], where by the user having to enter a user ID and the system having to verify this ID with its records, the system is performing an encryption process).

(6) regarding claim 10:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises an identification module (Fig. 6) and wherein multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the steps of retrieving an identifier for the multifunction peripheral (paragraph [0073], where an user ID is used to associated a user with the use of a device in this case the multifunction device) and augmenting the device-related report with the identifier for the multifunction peripheral (paragraph [0076], lines 1-4, where the user ID is used to access the report information).

(7) regarding claim 12:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of requesting device-related information using the simple network management protocol (paragraph [0034], lines 15-18, where the device is capable of communicating through a network using SNMP).

(8) regarding claim 13:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of receiving device-related information from the network device

Art Unit: 2625

using the simple network management protocol (paragraph [0034], lines 15-18, where

the device is capable of communicating through a network using SNMP).

(9) regarding claim 14:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the device-related information comprises one or more of device information (paragraph [0073]), device status, meter reading information, and consumables information.

(10) regarding claim 15:

Homma '700 further discloses where in the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of accepting user configuration input (paragraph [0016], where the user ID has to be accepted by the device in order to properly communicate information), and wherein the user configuration input relates to one or more aspects of the collection of device-related information from the network device by the multifunction peripheral (paragraph [0016], and Fig. 7 where the user has the options to select his/her own configuration).

(11) regarding claim 16:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of accepting user configuration input via a remote interface (paragraph [0016], where the user ID has to be accepted by the device in order to properly communicate information and Fig. 1, where the communication devices can be located remotely connected by a network), and wherein the user configuration input relates to one or more aspects of the collection of device-related

information from the network device by the multifunction peripheral (paragraph [0016], and Fig. 7 where the user has the options to select his/her own configuration).

(12) regarding claim 21:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the recipient device is one of the group consisting of a fax machine, a computer (11 and 12, in Fig. 1), and dedicated hardware executing one of the group consisting of an email client, an http server, and https server, and an ftp server.

(13) regarding claim 24:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to receive an acknowledgement over the network from the network device (paragraph [0076], lines 5-13, where the system receives a network confirmation if there is or there is not device information stored in memory).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5, 20, 22-23 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homma (US 2001/0017700) in view of Iwase et al. (US 2002/0046247).
 - (1) regarding claim 5:

Art Unit: 2625

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multi function peripheral further comprises an email module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending, said device-related report to the recipient device via email using the email module.

However, Iwase '247 teaches wherein the multi function peripheral further comprises an email module (paragraph [0012], where the server apparatus contains the e-mail functionality) and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending, said device-related report to the recipient device via email using the email module (paragraph [0012], where information is being send through the network by e-mail).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multi function peripheral further comprises an email module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending, said device-related report to the recipient device by sending, said device-related report to the recipient device via email using the email module as taught by lwase '247 in the system of Homma '700. With this the system performance is enhanced by allowing communication among devices that may not be in the same place or even in the same country and still getting all the information contained in the report.

(2) regarding claim 20:

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except wherein the network device is a second multifunction peripheral.

Art Unit: 2625

However, Iwase '247 teaches wherein the network device is a second multifunction peripheral (4 in Fig. 1, where the system have two or more multifunction devices connected to the same network).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the network device is a second multifunction peripheral as taught by Iwase '247 in the system of Homma '700. With this, it becomes evident that in a system (as taught by Homma '700) that has a network where multiple devices can be connected to, it becomes clear that among those devices another multifunction device can be connected as shown by Iwase '247.

(3) regarding claims 22, 25 and 26:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral (100 in Fig. 1) is configured to perform the step of:

requesting a set of device-related information from a network device over a network (paragraph [0075], lines 3-13, where a command is being made in order to retrieve information);

receiving the set of device-related information from the network device over the network (paragraph [0076], lines 6-12, where if the information is stored in memory it is received by the requesting party);

generating the device-related report based on said device-related information and said set of device-related information (paragraph [0074], where the information collected is being formatted in a record); and

Art Unit: 2625

sending said device-related report to the recipient device (paragraph [0076], lines 6-12, where if the information is stored in memory it is received by the requesting party).

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except having a network device distinct from the second network device.

However, Iwase '247 teaches having a network device distinct from the second network device (4 in Fig. 1, where the system have two or more multifunction devices connected to the same network).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made having a network device distinct from the second network device as taught by Iwase '247 in the system of Homma '700. With this, it becomes evident that in a system (as taught by Homma '700) that has a network where multiple devices can be connected to, it becomes clear that among those devices another multifunction device can be connected as shown by Iwase '247.

(4) regarding claim 23:

Homma '700 further discloses wherein the multifunction peripheral (100 in Fig. 1) is configured to perform the step of:

accessing a set of device-related information from the multifunction peripheral (paragraph [0075], lines 3-13, where a command is being made in order to retrieve information);

generating the device-related report based on said device-related information and said set of device-related information (paragraph [0074], where the information collected is being formatted in a record); and

Art Unit: 2625

sending said device-related report to the recipient device (paragraph [0076], lines 6-12, where if the information is stored in memory it is received by the requesting party).

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except that the set of device-related information and the device-related report are from a second device.

However, Iwase '247 teaches that the set of device-related information and the device-related report are from a second device (4 in Fig. 1, where the system have two or more multifunction devices connected to the same network).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the set of device-related information and the device-related report are from a second device as taught by Iwase '247 in the system of Homma '700. With this, it becomes evident that in a system (as taught by Homma '700) that has a network where multiple devices can be connected to, it becomes clear that among those devices another multifunction device can be connected as shown by Iwase '247.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homma (US 2001/0017700) in view of Nagasaka et al. (US 6,725,300).

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the

Art Unit: 2625

recipient device via hypertext transfer protocol using the hypertext transfer protocol module.

However, Nagasaka '300 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via hypertext transfer protocol using the hypertext transfer protocol module (column 32, lines 64-67 and column 33, lines 1-10, where http is being used for communications between devices).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via hypertext transfer protocol using the hypertext transfer protocol module as taught by Nagasaka '300 in the system of Homma '700. With this the system can use a standard way of communication through internet, thus facilitating the communication with remote devices.

- 6. Claims 7, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homma (US 2001/0017700) in view of Watkins (US 6,347,305).
 - (1) regarding claim 7:

Art Unit: 2625

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a secure hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via secure hypertext transfer protocol using the secure hypertext transfer protocol module.

However, Watkins '305 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a secure hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via secure hypertext transfer protocol using the secure hypertext transfer protocol module (column 7, lines 5-17, where the email is connected to a secure page (https)).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a secure hypertext transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via secure hypertext transfer protocol using the secure hypertext transfer protocol module as taught by Watkins '305 in the system of Homma '700. With this the system can use a standard way of communication through internet, thus facilitating the communication with remote devices in a secure way.

(2) regarding claims 11 and 17:

Art Unit: 2625

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of requesting device-related information from a device at intervals defined by the user configuration input.

However, Watkins '305 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of requesting device-related information from a device at intervals defined by the user configuration input (column 7, lines 5-8, where there is a predetermined number of times that information is going to be retrieve).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of requesting device-related information from a device at intervals defined by the user configuration input as taught by Watkins '305 in the system of Homma '700. With this the system has an organized way to retrieve information from different devices, thus preventing errors or discrepancies of information for the report.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homma (US 2001/0017700) in view of Takano (US 2004/0184108).

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a file transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via file transfer protocol using the file transfer protocol module.

Art Unit: 2625

However, Takano '108 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a file transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via file transfer protocol using the file transfer protocol module (paragraph [0052], where by way of the ftp the system can communicate device-related information).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a file transfer protocol module and wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device by sending said device-related report to the recipient device via file transfer protocol using the file transfer protocol module as taught by Takano '108 in the system of Homma '700. With this the system can use a standard way of communication through ftp, thus facilitating the data transfer with remote devices.

8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homma (US 2001/0017700) in view of Carter (WO 01/40907).

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of generating the device-related report based in part on the user configuration input, and wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device at an interval defined by the user configuration input.

Art Unit: 2625

However, Carter '907 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of generating the device-related report based in part on the user configuration input, and wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device at an interval defined by the user configuration input (page 12, lines 32-33 and page 13, lines 1-4, where the operator indicates when a particular information should be send to a particular party).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made wherein the multifunction peripheral is configured to perform the step of generating the device-related report based in part on the user configuration input, and wherein the multifunction peripheral is further configured to perform the step of sending said device-related report to the recipient device at an interval defined by the user configuration input as taught by Carter '907 in the system of Homma '700. With this the system has an organized way to present information about different devices, thus preventing errors or discrepancies of information for the report.

9. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Homma (US 2001/0017700) in view of Swart (US 6,347,306).

Homma '700 discloses all the subject matter as described above except wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a means for executing instructions of a java application and the steps are performed by instructions of a particular java application.

Art Unit: 2625

However, Swart '306 teaches wherein the multifunction peripheral further

comprises a means for executing instructions of a java application and the steps are

performed by instructions of a particular java application (Fig. 3 and column 7, lines 48-

63).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made wherein the multifunction peripheral further comprises a means

for executing instructions of a java application and the steps are performed by

instructions of a particular java application as taught by Swart '306 in the system of

Homma '700. With this the system can use a standard way of communication through

internet, thus facilitating the communication with remote devices.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lennin R. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (571)

270-1678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30am -

6:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, King Poon can be reached on (571) 272-7440. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lennin Rodriguez 1/22/08

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER